



RFP Wireless Communication Services Q & A 470 # 216480001222460

Q&A #1: It indicates you have (18) locations, can you elaborate on how the services function throughout as well as a breakdown of the services per location?

Answer: The expectation is that all services will work across all 18 locations.

Q&A #2: Status of 2014 eRate vendor selection?

Answer: Vendor to be selected per the RFP criteria and timeline.

Q&A #3: On the District Wireless Profile RSD7 has requested “plan minutes allowed per month” minimum 2000 per user; pooled. Question: Can you confirm is this is really 2000 per user or should be a lower figure?

Answer: Confirming 2000 minutes.

Q&A #4: Item 15 – mobile to mobile – Question: Is the bulk of your usage calling each other via same carrier or other cell phones via other carriers?

Answer: Both

Q&A #5: Item 23 – Request to “grandfather” into California state contracts. Question: Do you mean Oregon contracts?

Answer: Yes, Oregon Contracts

Q&A #6: On the District Wireless Profile sheet RSD7 indicates the need for approximately 50 Smartphones, 50 regular cell phones, 10 multiple use wireless devices such as MiFi, estimated 8 wireless broadband cards and 50 wireless connection pads such as iPad, iPod, tablet, etc. Question: Does RSD7 have a platform preference such as iOS, Android, Windows Mobile, or Blackberry?

Answer: Mixed platforms

Q&A #7: Can you confirm there is no actual conflict of interest form to complete but rather agreement with and acknowledgment of the statement located under General Conditions, Item 13 which reads below will suffice as well as signature on the certification page (RFP Form B)?

13. Conflict of Interest. By its signature hereunder, Contractor certifies that no District employee whose position in the District's service enable him/her to influence any award of your offer or any competing offer and no District employee, spouse or economic dependent of such

employee, shall have any direct financial interest in any transaction resulting from this request for proposal. If such conflict exists, the Contractor will notify the District in writing.

Answer: Confirmed.

Q&A #7: RFP Form B – Located on this form is a text box which appears to be a tool to insert a price quote most likely intended for RFP Form A. However, it cannot be moved as intended and is in the area where a corporate seal should be placed as requested. Question: Can we remove the text box altogether in order to include the corporate seal or do you need a corporate seal for this response?

Answer: Text Box can be removed.