Reynolds SD 7 1204 NE 201st Ave Fairview, OR 97024-2499

2012-2013 Special Education Report



April 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members,

The Oregon Department of Education is proud to issue the eighth annual special education report. Reported indicators and targets correspond to the information in the State Performance Plan (SPP) for Special Education that is required by the United States Department of Education. As Oregon schools and districts continue to face many challenges, educators remain committed to providing the highest quality education for Oregon students. This report is not meant to tell you everything about your district, but it offers a starting point for discussions about where improvement might be needed and for celebrating successes in education for Oregon's children.

Rob Saxton, Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction

DISTRICT INFORMATION

| Students Graduating 2011-2012 | District | State Target | Least Restrictive Environment | District | State Target | |
|--|----------|---|--|-----------|------------------|--|
| Students with IEPs graduating with regular diploma: four-year cohort rate | 27.6% | 67.0% or more | Students included in regular class 80% or more of day | 73.7% | 70.0% or more | |
| Students with IEPs graduating with regular diploma: five-year cohort rate | 23.2% | 72.0% or more | Students included in regular class less than 40% of day | 13.7% | 10.8% or less | |
| High School Dropout 2011-2012 | District | State Target | Students served in public or private separate schools, | 1.1% | 2.0% | |
| Students with IEPs dropping out | 6.5% | 3.5% or less | residential placements, or homebound / hospital | | or less | |
| Timeline for Eligibility | District | State Target | Special Education | specially | | |
| Students with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days | 98.2% | 100.0% | §300.39(a) Special education means specially designed instruction, at no cost to the parents, to meet the unique needs of a child with a disability. LRE = Least Restrictive Environment | | | |
| | | | 20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(5) and §300.114(2 | | | |
| IEP = Individualized Education Program A written statement for a child with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised by an IEP Team. | | | maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of children with disabilities | | | |
| Department of Education Notes No data available. * Not displayed because at least 6 students are needed to maintain confidentiality. | | from the regular educational environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily. | | | | |

LOCAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY YOUR DISTRICT

DISTRICT INFORMATION

Academic Achievement Percentage of Students Meeting Standards

The graphs below show the percentage of students in your district that met or exceeded the state standards on Oregon Statewide Assessments during the last school year. In 2012-2013, Math included a knowledge and skills assessment for each student in grades 3-8 and 11; English Language Arts included a reading assessment for each student in grades 3-8 and 11.

| District students with IEPs meeting or e standards | exceeding gra | ade level | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Oregon students with IEPs meeting or exceeding grade level standards | | | | |
| District students with IEPs meeting or exceeding alternate standards | | | | |
| Oregon students with IEPs meeting or exceeding alternate standards | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 21% 16% 7 2012-2013 | 30% 15% 2012-2013 Mathematic | - | | |
| Participation by Students | | State | | |
| with IEPs | District | Target | | |
| Statewide assessment | 97.7% | 95.0% | | |
| Regular statewide assessment | 83.9% | Note ³ | | |
| Regular statewide assessment with accommodations ¹ | 28.3% | Note ³ | | |
| Alternate statewide assessment measured against grade level standards | | Note ³ | | |
| Alternate statewide assessment measured against alternate | 13.8% | Note ³ | | |
| achievement standards ² | | | | |
| achievement standards | | | | |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | District | State Target | | |

| Youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs at the time they left school, and, within one year of leaving high school, were | District | State Target |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Enrolled in higher education | 17.9% | 27.0% |
| Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed | 48.7% | 53.0% |
| Enrolled in higher education or in other post-secondary education or training program; or, competitively employed or in other employment | 66.7% | 69.0% |
| Suspension / Expulsion 2011-2012 | District | State Target |
| District identified with significant discrepancy in rate of suspension / expulsion for more than 10 days | No | 5 Note |
| District identified with a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in rate of suspension / expulsion for more than 10 days; and, policies, procedures, or practices contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards | No | 5 Note |
| IEP = Individualized Education Pro A written statement for a child with a developed, reviewed, and revised by | disability t | |
| Department of Education Notes No data available. * Not displayed because at least 6 stu needed to maintain confidentiality. NA Too few test scores or students to d rating. | | |

| Secondary Transition | District | State Target | Students Receiving Special Education Services | District | Sta Taro |
|---|---|--|--|--|--------------|
| Youth aged 16 and above with IEPs that included the following: appropriate, measurable post-secondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age-appropriate transition assessment; transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals; and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs; and, the district provided evidence that, for the IEP team meeting in | 16.7% | 100.0% | District identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification | No | N |
| | | | District identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification | No | N |
| which transition services were discussed, the student was invited and, if appropriate, a | | | Parent Survey Results | District | Sta |
| and, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited with prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority | | | Parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as | 12 | Tar |
| who has reached the age of | | | means of improving services and | 34.7% | 41. |
| who has reached the age of majority Department of Education Notes 1 Data displayed reflect participation in more approved accommodations. For http://www.ode.state.or.us/teachlearm 2 Results from the alternate statewide a Extended Assessment) are not comp assessment). 3 All assessments are included in the 9 4 The state target is based on an annual | r more inf /testing/a assessme arable to 5% targe al percen al percen | ormation, dmin/alt/e ent measu results fro t above. tage incre- tage reduc | | t) with one at .pdf. ds (Orego gon's gene criteria. | on's eral |

For more information, contact the Oregon Department of Edu